



Friends of the New Forest

NEW FOREST ASSOCIATION

PLANNING and TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

Reply to: -

Brookley Farmhouse Sway Road Brockenhurst Hampshire SO427RX
01590 623935 planning@newforestassociation.org

15 May 2017

Sent as attachment to Email only

Carly Cochrane
New Forest National Park Authority
Lymington Town Hall
Avenue Road
Lymington SO41 9ZG

Dear Carly,

Application: 17/00341 Continued temporary siting of 4No. agricultural buildings for a further period of 5 years (in breach of Condition 1 of planning permission 11/96790). | EVERGLADE FARM, LAND ADJACENT RUSHCROFT, MOUNT PLEASANT LANE, LYMINGTON, SO41 8LS

1. New Forest Association (NFA) objects to this application
2. Planning decisions change the status of land. While this may be a different applicant, the application is a continuation of application 11/96790 and must be treated as such. The planning committee temporarily overturned the officer's recommendation on 11/96790 and issued temporary permission *In order to enable the Authority to monitor the viability of the agricultural activity and whether there is a continued need for the buildings in compliance with Policy DP20 of the New Forest National Park Core Strategy and Development Management Policies (DPD) (December 2010).*
3. In recommending refusal of 11/96790, the officer's concluded: -

It is considered that the buildings are not essential for agriculture or the efficient working of the land due to the modest overall size of the site, its characteristics and also the number of buildings for which consent is sought. These factors, along with the fact that buildings are not laid out in a manner which enables the land to be used in an efficient way would be contrary to the requirements of Policy DP20 of the adopted New Forest National Park Core Strategy which seeks to avoid the proliferation of unjustified built development due to the detrimental impact this has upon the character and appearance of the New Forest landscape.

The number of buildings to be retained, their appearance and poorly considered siting results in a proliferation of built development across the site. The buildings are not sited so as to take advantage of boundary screening and do not relate closely to each other and are therefore considered to be harmful to the intrinsic rural character of the site and the wider landscape character of the New Forest National Park. This would be in conflict with the requirements of Policies DP1 and DP20 of the adopted New Forest National Park Core Strategy.

It is not possible to come to any different conclusion on 17/00341. Nothing has changed; the position in 2017 is identical to that in 2011. Except that the opportunity given in 2011 has not been taken and the viability not proven.

Yours sincerely,

Graham Baker, NFA Planning Committee
Registered Charity 260328